Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

Operator: SM Energy Company
Well Name/Number: Marlin 14-8H
Location: SE SW Section 8 T23N R57E
County: Richland, MT; Field (or Wildcat) Wildcat
Air Quality
(possible concerns)
Long drilling time: No, 30-40 days drilling time.
Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): <u>Triple derrick rig,1000 HP to drill a Bakken Formation</u>
single horizontal lateral 10,419'TVD/14,646'MD.
Possible H2S gas production: Slight
In/near Class I air quality area: No, Class I air quality area.
Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-
<u>211.</u>
Mitigation:
X Air quality permit (AQB review)
X Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas
Special equipment/procedures requirements
Other:
Comments: Existing pipeline for gas in the area.
Water Quality
(possible concerns)
Salt/oil based mud: Yes to long string hole to be drilled with oil based invert drilling fluids. Horizontal
lateral hole will be drilled with brine water. Surface casing hole to be drilled with freshwater and
freshwater mud.
High water table: No high water table anticipated.
Surface drainage leads to live water: No, closest drainage are unnamed ephemeral tributary drainages to
Lone Tree Creek and ephemeral drainage, about 1/4 of a mile to the east and northwest from this location.
Water well contamination: No, all water wells close by are shallower than 1950'. Closest well is about
$3/8$ of a mile to the northwest, $\frac{1}{4}$ of a mile to the east and about $\frac{1}{2}$ of a mile to the west southwest from this
location. Depth of these water wells are 240' and less. Surface casing will be drilled with freshwater and
surface casing cemented back to surface from 1950'.
Porous/permeable soils: No, silty sandy clay soils.
Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages.
Mitigation:
X Lined reserve pit
\underline{X} Adequate surface casing
Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
Closed mud system
Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)
Other:
Comments: Require 1950'+/- surface casing well below freshwater zones in adjacent water wells.
Also, covering Fox Hills aquifer. Adequate surface casing and BOP equipment to mitigate any problems.

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns)

Steam crossings: None anticipated.
High erosion potential: No, location has a small cut, up to 6.5' and small fill, up to 7.4', required.
Loss of soil productivity: None, location to be restored after drilling well if nonproductive. If productive
unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed.
Unusually large wellsite: No, large well site 420'X330'.
Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use is cultivated fields.
Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight to none
Mitigation
Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)
Exception location requested
X Stockpile topsoil
Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)
X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive
Special construction methods to enhance reclamation
Other
Comments: Existing county road, #128 and existing well access road to Franz 14-8H will be utilized to
reach this location. A short access road of up to about 47' of new road will be constructed from the
existing well access road into this location. Oil based drilling fluids will be recycled. Completion fluids
will hauled to a commercial Class II disposal. Cuttings will be buried in the lined reserve pit. No concerns.
The first term of the first te
Health Hazards/Noise
(possible concerns)
Proximity to public facilities/residences: Closest residence is 1/8 of a mile to the east, ½ of a mile to the
west southwest and 5/8 of a mile to the north from this location.
Possibility of H2S: Slight
Size of rig/length of drilling time: <u>Triple drilling rig 30 to 40 days drilling time.</u>
Mitigation:
_X Proper BOP equipment
Topographic sound barriers
H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan
Special equipment/procedures requirements
Other: Comments: _Adequate surface casing cemented to surface with working BOP stack should
mitigate any problems. Distance sufficient to mitigate noise.
Wildlife/recreation
(possible concerns)
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.
Proximity to recreation sites: None identified
Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No
Conflict with game range/refuge management: No
Threatened or endangered Species: Species identified as threatened by the USFWS are Pallid Sturgeon,
Interior Lease Tern and Whooping Crane in Richland County.
Mitigation:
Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
Other:
Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. No concerns.

Historical/Cultural/Paleontological

(possible concerns)
Proximity to known sites: None identified
Mitigation
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)
Other: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. No concerns
Social/Economic
(possible concerns)
Substantial effect on tax base
Create demand for new governmental services
Population increase or relocation
Comments: Existing well spacing unit. This is a development well within this established spacing unit. No concerns.
Remarks or Special Concerns for this site
Bakken Formation single horizontal lateral 10,419'TVD/14,646'MD. Second horizontal Bakken well in this spacing unit.
No long term impacts expected, some short term impacts will occur.
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
Prepared by (BOGC): /s/Steven Sasaki
(title:) Chief Field Inspector
Date: July 13, 2010
Other Persons Contacted: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, Groundwater Information Center website
(Name and Agency)
Richland County water wells
(subject discussed)
<u>July 13, 2010</u>
(date)

US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website
(Name and Agency)
ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA
COUNTIES, Richland County
(subject discussed)
July 13, 2010
(date)
If location was inspected before permit approval:
Inspection date:
Inspector:
Others present during inspection: